Affirmative Action Plan for the Recruitment, Hiring, Advancement, and Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To capture agencies' affirmative action plan for persons with disabilities (PWD) and persons with targeted disabilities (PWTD), EEOC regulations (29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(e)) and MD-715 require agencies to describe how their affirmative action plan will improve the recruitment, hiring, advancement, and retention of applicants and employees with disabilities.

Section I: Efforts to Reach Regulatory Goals

EEOC regulations (29 CFR $\S1614.203(d)(7)$) require agencies to establish specific numerical goals for increasing the participation of persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities in the federal government

1. Using the goal of 12% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWD)

Answer Yes

b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWD)

Answer Yes

In FY 2022, the percentage of PWD in the GS-1 to GS-10 cluster of the permanent workforce was at a rate of 10.76%, which was a slight increase from the 10.56% rate in FY 2021. The 10.76% rate is lower than the expected 12% benchmark, indicating a trigger. PWD in the GS-11 to SES cluster of the permanent workforce participated at a rate of 7.89% in FY 2022, which was a slight decrease from the FY 2021 rate of 7.89%. The 7.89% rate is lower than the expected 12% benchmark which indicates a trigger.

*For GS employees, please use two clusters: GS-1 to GS-10 and GS-11 to SES, as set forth in 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(7). For all other pay plans, please use the approximate grade clusters that are above or below GS-11 Step 1 in the Washington, DC metropolitan region.

2. Using the goal of 2% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWTD)

Answer Yes

b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWTD)

Answer Yes

In FY 2022, the percentage of PWTD in the GS-1 to GS-10 cluster of the permanent workforce was 0.63%, which was a slight increase from the rate of 0.62% in FY 2021. The FY 2022 rate of 0.63% is lower than the expected 2% benchmark, indicating a trigger. PWTD in the GS-11 to SES cluster of the permanent workforce participated at a rate of 1.49% in FY 2022, which was a slight decrease from FY 2021's 1.50% participation rate. The FY 2022 rate of 1.49% is lower than the expected 2% benchmark, indicating a trigger.

Grade Level Cluster(GS or Alternate Pay	Total	Reportable Disability		Targeted Disability	
Planb)	#	#	%	#	%
Numarical Goal		12%		2%	
Grades GS-1 to GS-10	158	17	10.76	1	0.63
Grades GS-11 to SES	875	69	7.89	13	1.49

3. Describe how the agency has communicated the numerical goals to the hiring managers and/or recruiters.

The Agency utilizes the EEOC's 12% and 2% benchmarks for PWD and PWTD, respectively, as targets. The Agency communicates information on the hiring of PWD and PWTD as part of its efforts to encourage Program Offices to use Schedule A hiring authorities. In FY 2022, the Agency continued to work with the offices of Equal Employment Opportunity, Diversity, and Special Programs (EEO), the Office of Human Resources (OHR), and the Office of Human Capital Management (OCHM) to improve the participation rate for PWD and PTWD. The Agency continued its campaign to remind employees annually about the importance of reporting and encouraging employees to update their disability status so that the Agency can gain a more accurate count of PWD and PTWD currently working at the Agency and the needs of those employees. In addition, the Agency began discussing its efforts to oversee OHR and OCHM's efforts in (1) assisting in the recruitment of qualified disabled applicants; (2) expanding the use of the Schedule A process (where applicable); (3) assisting in the advertising of any mandatory or optional training programs; and (4) ensuring that the goals and requirements within Executive Order 13548 and Part J of the MD-715 Annual Report are communicated and implemented throughout the organization. Additionally, the Agency began discussing different mechanisms to conduct an examination of barriers as well as agency policies and procedures pertaining to the recruitment, hiring, and retention of underrepresented groups, including PWD.

Section II: Model Disability Program

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(1), agencies must ensure sufficient staff, training and resources to recruit and hire persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities, administer the reasonable accommodation program and special emphasis program, and oversee any other disability hiring and advancement program the agency has in place.

A. PLAN TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT & COMPETENT STAFFING FOR THE DISABILITY PROGRAM

1. Has the agency designated sufficient qualified personnel to implement its disability program during the reporting period? If "no", describe the agency's plan to improve the staffing for the upcoming year.

Answer Yes

In April 2021, the EEOC approved and the Agency implemented its Reasonable Accommodation policy and procedures. Under the revised policy, the OHR staff were designated as the Reasonable Accommodation Coordinator and assumed the disability program responsibilities for the entire Agency. In addition, the time frame for processing reasonable accommodation requests was reduced from 60 to 30 days. During FY 2021, the number of requests for Reasonable Accommodation as well as the novelty of the requests increased exponentially as a result of COVID-19 related illnesses and the concern that an increase in onsite operations was imminent. In FY 2022, the Agency increased staffing from one (1) person to five (5) people by assigning OHR's Employee and Labor Relations staff to assist with the unprecedented number of requests. The Agency also began to retool its mechanisms in its efforts to process the requests more expeditiously. The Agency will continue to monitor the trend in requests and the timeliness of the request processing over the next year and then may be in a better position to assess what, if any, additional resources may be necessary.

2. Identify all staff responsible for implementing the agency's disability employment program by the office, staff employment status, and responsible official.

D. 17. D	# of FTE	Responsible Official		
Disability Program Task	Full Time	Part Time	Collateral Duty	(Name, Title, Office Email)
Processing reasonable accommodation requests from applicants and employees	5	0	0	jillian.martin@csosa.gov, amara.thornton- brown@csosa.gov, eunan.onyemma@csosa.go wendy.russell@csosa.gov, monica.mcnair@csosa.gov

Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency for the District of Columbia

D: 13% D	# of FTE	ent Status	Responsible Official	
Disability Program Task	Full Time	Part Time	Collateral Duty	(Name, Title, Office Email)
Special Emphasis Program for PWD and PWTD	0	0	1	Kathie Lacy-Storost, Acting Disability Program Manager Kathie.Lacy- Storost@csosa.gov
Section 508 Compliance	0	2	0	
				william.kirkendale@csosa.
Architectural Barriers Act Compliance	0	2	0	Wikita.Stegman@csosa.gov
Processing applications from PWD and PWTD	1	0	0	Karen Schmitz, Selective Placement Coordinator, OHR
Answering questions from the public about hiring authorities that take disability into account	1	0	0	Karen Schmitz, Selective Placemen Coordinator, OHR

3. Has the agency provided disability program staff with sufficient training to carry out their responsibilities during the reporting period? If "yes", describe the training that disability program staff have received. If "no", describe the training planned for the upcoming year.

Answer Yes

During FY 2022, members of the EEO and the OHR staff received the following training with respect to their disability program duties: 1. Excel and FDR Training Conferences 2021 (MD-715 Disability and Reasonable Accommodation Track) 2. Mandatory EEO training on Reasonable Accommodation and the No FEAR Act. The Agency will continue to focus on providing training opportunities to the OHR staff serving as the RAC.

B. PLAN TO ENSURE SUFFICIENT FUNDING FOR THE DISABILITY PROGRAM

Has the agency provided sufficient funding and other resources to successfully implement the disability program during the reporting period? If "no", describe the agency's plan to ensure all aspects of the disability program have sufficient funding and other resources.

Answer Yes

In April 2021, the EEOC approved and the Agency implemented its Reasonable Accommodation policy and procedures. Under the revised policy, the OHR staff were designated as the Reasonable Accommodation Coordinator and assumed the disability program responsibilities for the entire Agency. In addition, the time frame for processing reasonable accommodation requests was reduced from 60 to 30 days. During FY 2021, the number of requests for Reasonable Accommodation as well as the novelty of the requests increased exponentially as a result of COVID-19 related illnesses and the concern that an increase in onsite operations was imminent. In FY 2022, the Agency increased staffing from one (1) person to five (5) people by assigning OHR's Employee and Labor Relations staff to assist with the unprecedented number of requests. The Agency also began to retool its mechanisms in its efforts to process the requests more expeditiously. The Agency will continue to monitor the trend in requests and the timeliness of the request processing over the next year and then may be in a better position to assess what, if any, additional resources may be necessary.

Section III: Program Deficiencies In The Disability Program

Brief Description of Program Deficiency	B.4.a.10. to effective	vely manage its reasonab	ele accommodation program? [see 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(4)(ii)]		
Objective			training, and funding levels to determine sufficiency for e Accommodation program.		
Target Date	Dec 30, 2019				
Completion Date					
	Target Date	Completion Date	Planned Activity		
	Nov 30, 2018	September 30, 2022	Review the staffing of the EEO Office to determine if a full-time and/or part-time FTE can be established to manage the Agency's Reasonable Accommodation program.		
	Dec 30, 2018	September 30, 2022	Review the staffing of the OHR Office to determine if a full-time or part- time FTE is available to perform duties of Disability Program Manager/ Reasonable Accommodation Coordinator.		
Planned Activities	Dec 30, 2018	September 30, 2022	Identify the OHR position to serve as Reasonable Accommodation Coordinator in revised Reasonable Accommodation policy and procedures.		
	Dec 30, 2018	September 30, 2022	Revise the Reasonable Accommodation policy and procedures.		
	Jan 30, 2019		Once revised Reasonable Accommodation policy and procedures are implemented, begin the process of monitoring timeliness of responses to Reasonable Accommodation requests under the revised program.		
	Jun 30, 2023		Review the trend in the number of requests and the timeliness in processing to determine if training and staffing are sufficient.		
	Feb 28, 2024		If training insufficient, identify additional training resources to provide.		
	Jun 30, 2024		If staffing level is insufficient, determine if a full-time and/or part-time FTE can be established to increase timeliness in complaint processing.		
	Fiscal Year	Accomplishment			
	2022	In FY 2022, the Agency increased staffing from one (1) person to five (5) people by assigning OHR's Employee and Labor Relations staff to assist with the unprecedented number of requests. In addition, the Agency began to retool its mechanisms in its attempts to process the requests more expeditiously.			
	2019	The Agency completed the review of the staffing levels of EEO and OHR and identified the OHR position to serve as the Reasonable Accommodation Coordinator.			
Accomplishments	2021	procedures. The A them on April 13, 20, 2021. The rev became effective internal and exter and procedures of time frame for pro OHR began proce of requests for Re increased expone- concern that an in	Agency revised the documents accordingly and resubmitted agency revised the documents accordingly and resubmitted agency revised the documents accordingly and procedures on April agency revised Reasonable Accommodation policy and procedures on April 30, 2021. They have been posted on the Agency's nal websites. The Agency began training on the revised policy and July 26, 2021. Under the revised policy and procedures, the occasing requests was reduced from 60 days to 30 days and essing requests for the entire Agency. In FY 2021, the number casonable Accommodation as well as the novelty of the requests intially as a result of COVID-19 related illnesses and the accesse in onsite operations was imminent.		
	2023		inues to learn from its COVID-19 related experiences and o process reasonable accommodation requests as timely as		
	2020	The Agency sent to the EEOC on J	the Revised Reasonable Accommodation policy and procedures une 10, 2020.		

Brief Description of Program Deficiency	the time frame set f	forth in its reasonable a	l accommodation requests, excluding ongoing interpretative services, within ccommodation procedures? [see MD-715, II(C)] If "no", please provide the xcluding ongoing interpretative services, in the comments column.		
Objective	Process all reason	onable accommodat	ion requests in a timely manner.		
Target Date	Dec 30, 2019				
Completion Date					
	<u>Target Date</u>	Completion Date	Planned Activity		
	Sep 20, 2019	April 30, 2021	Consult with OHR to discuss impediments to timely processing of all reasonable accommodation requests.		
Planned Activities	Jan 31, 2020	April 30, 2021	Once revised Reasonable Accommodation procedures are implemented, designated Reasonable Accommodation Coordinator (RAC) will process all reasonable accommodation requests.		
	Mar 30, 2020	July 26, 2021	Schedule training for supervisors on Reasonable Accommodation policy and procedures.		
	Apr 30, 2020		Begin monitoring timeliness of request processing under revised program.		
	<u>Fiscal Year</u>	Accomplishment			
	2018	The working group began drafting a revised Reasonable Accommodation policy.			
	2020	The Agency further revised and then submitted its Reasonable Accommodation policy and procedures to the EEOC on June 10, 2020.			
Accomplishments	2021	procedures. The them on April 13 20, 2021. The rebecame effective internal and exte and procedures of time frame for procedures for Requests for Region increased exponencing that an i	2021, the EEOC provided its feedback on the revised policy and Agency revised the documents accordingly and resubmitted 3, 2021. The EEOC approved the policy and procedures on April vised Reasonable Accommodation policy and procedures e on April 30, 2021. They have been posted on the Agency's rnal websites. The Agency began training on the revised policy on July 26, 2021. Under the revised policy and procedures, the rocessing requests was reduced from 60 days to 30 days and result are results for the entire Agency. In FY 2021, the number easonable Accommodation as well as the novelty of the requests entially as a result of COVID-19 related illnesses and the increase in onsite operations was imminent.		
	2022	explore ways to	continue to monitor the timeliness of request processing and gain greater efficiency in processing requests.		
	2019	The working gro submitted for fur	oup completed a draft on November 1, 2018, which was out ther review.		
	2023		tinues to learn from its COVID-19 related experiences and to process reasonable accommodation requests as timely as		

Brief Description of Program Deficiency	C.4.e.1. Implemen	t the Affirmative Action	n Plan for Individuals with Disabilities? [see 29 CFR §1614.203(d); MD-715,	
Objective	Continue imple	menting the Affirma	ative Action Plan for Individuals with Disabilities.	
Target Date	Dec 30, 2018			
Completion Date				
	Target Date	Completion Date	Planned Activity	
Planned Activities	Oct 30, 2018		EEO, CSOSA's OHR and PSA's OHCM began to formulate viable plans to implement the Affirmative Action Plan for Individuals with Disabilities.	
	Oct 30, 2018		Continue to explore the current usage of the Agency's Schedule A database and identify methods or processes to increase usage of the database.	
	Jan 30, 2019		Provide training to all hiring managers on the use of hiring authorities that take disability into account. Training should also include upward mobility strategies for PWD.	
	Feb 28, 2019		When individuals apply for a position under a hiring authority that takes disability into account (e.g., Schedule A, 30% Disabled Veteran), create a standardized process for determining if the individual is eligible for appointment under such authority. If so, forward the individual's application to the relevant hiring officials with an explanation of how and when the individual may be appointed.	
	Fiscal Year	<u>Accomplishment</u>		
	2019	The Agency had	preliminary discussions.	
	2023	In FY 2023, the Agency plans to continue its outreach efforts and its work in developing training on flexible hiring authorities.		
Accomplishments	2022	The Agency continued its outreach efforts, including by using social media to connect with people with disabilities and organizations that serve people with disabilities.		
	2020	The Agency exp	ects to continue these discussions into the next fiscal year.	
	2021	The Agency realized the limitations of its current database system and began discussing ways to reconstruct the system. The Agency also began to expand outreach to organizations that serve people with disabilities and began exploring the use of social media to connect with people with disabilities and organizations that serve people with disabilities.		

Section IV: Plan to Recruit and Hire Individuals with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(d)(1)(i) and (ii), agencies must establish a plan to increase the recruitment and hiring of individuals with disabilities. The questions below are designed to identify outcomes of the agency's recruitment program plan for PWD and PWTD

A. PLAN TO IDENTIFY JOB APPLICATIONS WITH DISABILITIES

1. Describe the programs and resources the agency uses to identify job applicants with disabilities, including individuals with targeted disabilities.

In FY 2022, even with limited resources, the Agency continued to utilize a variety of recruitment strategies designed to increase the number of qualified applicants with disabilities and applicants with targeted disabilities, including networking with organizations that serve PWD and PWTD. In FY 2022, the Agency was below the 12% goal of PWD in the GS-1 to GS-10 cluster at 10.76% and the GS-11 to SES cluster at 7.89%. The Agency was below the goal of 2% of PWTD in the same clusters at 0.63% and 1.49%, respectively. The Agency will continue to implement the following multi-pronged and multi-year recruitment strategies in FY 2022, FY 2023, FY 2024, and FY 2025: a. Continue to target recruitment of PWD by reviewing and reinforcing the function of the Selective Placement Coordinator who has responsibility for the staffing and recruitment of People with Disabilities. With the

assistance of the Agency's Disabled Veterans Affirmative Action Plan Manager (DVAAPM), the Agency will continue to partner with Virginia's and the District of Columbia's Vocational Rehabilitation Services and national organizations such as: o Disabled Veterans' Outreach Programs; o Disabled Transition Assistance Programs; o Disability Resource Centers at colleges and universities; and o The Agency will explore the potential opportunities/resources of OPM's shared register for applicants with disabilities, designed by Bender Consulting Services. b. Continue to partner with the Workforce Recruitment Program to recruit post-secondary students and recent graduates with disabilities who would be an ideal match for the mission of the Agency for temporary and permanent positions for which they qualify. c. Develop collaborative recruiting partnerships with community, academic, and governmental groups that can reach individuals with targeted disabilities to maximize recruiting from all sources when filling positions at the GS-11 level and above, including managerial and supervisory positions at grades GS-13 to SES. d. Increase the Agency's presence at meetings, conferences, and career fairs sponsored by organizations serving individuals with targeted disabilities to reach a larger pool of potential candidates.

2. Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(a)(3), describe the agency's use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A) to recruit PWD and PWTD for positions in the permanent workforce

The Agency used all available hiring authorities to recruit and hire PWD and PWTD. Recruitment efforts included: o Use of the Workforce Recruitment Program. o Continued Partnership with the D.C. government's Department of Rehabilitative Services Administration (DRSA) to provide expanded opportunities for individuals with disabilities to gain access to meaningful employment with CSOSA and PSA. o Partnership with Operation War Fighter Internship Program and the Wounded Warrior Regiment M4Life Program. o Continued involvement by management officials in the recruitment process of hiring persons with disabilities and use of contacts and engagement with partners who specialize in hiring persons with disabilities.

3. When individuals apply for a position under a hiring authority that takes disability into account (e.g., Schedule A), explain how the agency (1) determines if the individual is eligible for appointment under such authority; and, (2) forwards the individual's application to the relevant hiring officials with an explanation of how and when the individual may be appointed.

The Agency connected with federal state, and local agencies and organizations serving persons with disabilities by sending job announcements via email and posting announcements on social media. The Agency's Selective Placement Coordinator was contacted by interested applicants who sought employment with the Agency. Additionally, the Agency continued to provide employees with disabilities with a multitude of training and developmental opportunities, which allowed individuals to gain skills and competencies needed for the successful performance of their jobs and to enhance their career opportunities.

4. Has the agency provided training to all hiring managers on the use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A)? If "yes", describe the type(s) of training and frequency. If "no", describe the agency's plan to provide this training.

Answer Yes

OHR and OCHM continued their practice of informing hiring managers about the use of special hiring authorities that consider disability. Managers and supervisors also received formal and informal training biennially as part of the mandatory EEO and Diversity training requirements. This training covered the special hiring authorities, the hiring goals, the Reasonable Accommodation laws, and other diversity and inclusion topics.

B. PLAN TO ESTABLISH CONTACTS WITH DISABILITY EMPLOYMENT ORGANIZATIONS

Describe the agency's efforts to establish and maintain contacts with organizations that assist PWD, including PWTD, in securing and maintaining employment.

The Agency maintained contact with organizations that assist PWD, including PWTD, with securing and maintaining employment. The Agency collaborated with the Wounded Warrior Regiment – Career Resources Management Center in Quantico, Virginia, the

D.C. Department on Disability Services, and the Virginia Rehabilitation Services to provide disabled individuals with real-life work experience.

C. PROGRESSION TOWARDS GOALS (RECRUITMENT AND HIRING)

1. Using the goals of 12% for PWD and 2% for PWTD as the benchmarks, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires in the permanent workforce? If "yes", please describe the triggers below.

a. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWD)

Answer Yes

b. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWTD)

Answer Yes

Using the goals of 12% for PWD and 2% for PWTD, a trigger exists among new hires for PWD and PWTD. In FY 2022, none of the new hires identified as having a disability or a targeted disability.

		Reportable	Disability	Targeted Disability		
New Hires	Total	Permanent Temporary Workforce Workforce		Permanent Workforce	Temporary Workforce	
	(#)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	
% of Total Applicants	274	5.84	0.00	1.82	0.00	
% of Qualified Applicants	164	4.88	0.00	2.44	0.00	
% of New Hires	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

2. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires for any of the mission- critical occupations (MCO)? If "yes", please describe the triggers below. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. New Hires for MCO (PWD)

Answer Yes

b. New Hires for MCO (PWTD)

Answer Yes

In FY 2022, there was one (1) qualified applicant for the MCO position in job series 0101, who identified as having both a disability and a targeted disability. That applicant was not selected for a position.

	Total	Reportable Disability	Targetable Disability
New Hires to Mission-Critical Occupations	Total	New Hires	New Hires
	(#)	(%)	(%)
Numerical Goal		12%	2%
0101 SOCIAL SCIENCE	3	0.00	0.00
0102 SOCIAL SCIENCE AID AND TECHNICIAN	1	0.00	0.00
0404 BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE TECHNICIAN	0	0.00	0.00

3. Using the relevant applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the qualified internal applicants for any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If "yes", please describe the triggers below. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWD)

Answer Yes

FY 2022

b. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWTD)

Answer Yes

In FY 2022, there were five (5) qualified internal applicants for the MCO position in job series 0101, who identified as having a disability. There were three (3) qualified internal applicants who identified as having a targeted disability. None of these applicants were selected for MCO positions in job series 0101.

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among employees promoted to any of the mission- critical occupations (MCO)? If "yes", please describe the triggers below. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. Promotions for MCO (PWD)

Answer Yes

b. Promotions for MCO (PWTD)

Answer Yes

In FY 2022, there were five (5) qualified internal applicants for promotion to the MCO position in job series 0101, who identified as having a disability. There were three (3) qualified internal applicants who identified as having a targeted disability. None of these applicants were selected for promotion to MCO positions in job series 0101.

Section V: Plan to Ensure Advancement Opportunities for Employees with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(d)(1)(iii), agencies are required to provide sufficient advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities. Such activities might include specialized training and mentoring programs, career development opportunities, awards programs, promotions, and similar programs that address advancement. In this section, agencies should identify, and provide data on programs designed to ensure advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities.

A. ADVANCEMENT PROGRAM PLAN

Describe the agency's plan to ensure PWD, including PWTD, have sufficient opportunities for advancement.

During FY 2021, FY 2022, FY 2023, FY 2024, and FY 2025, the Agency plans to continue to improve and strengthen its opportunities for advancement for PWD, including PWTD, utilizing the following initiatives: • Continue to explore whether barriers exist for PWD and PWTD in the recruitment and/or selection process for the mission critical occupational series 0101. This data will be incorporated into CSOSA's comprehensive recruitment plan, which is under development. The Agency is also open to expanding its contacts to include America Job Centers and employment network service providers. • Utilize additional sources of data to: (1) identify policies, procedures, and practices that limit PWD's and PWTD's employment opportunities; (2) investigate whether PWD and PWTD are experiencing barriers that either prevent them from applying for and/or being selected for promotions or new hires to the senior grade levels; (3) identify the proportion of mission-critical occupations that lead to managerial positions, and if PWD and PWTD have a low participation rate, conduct a focus group with the population to identify impediments to their advancement within the Agency; (4) examine whether any of policies, procedures, and practices are motivating PWD and PWTD to leave the Agency; (5) evaluate policies, practices and procedures surrounding reasonable accommodation requests, career development opportunities, job assignments, performance appraisals, awards, and the work environment; and (6) conduct climate assessment surveys and focus groups. • Plan to investigate whether PWD and PWTD have barriers in recruitment and/or selection processes for new hires and promotions to senior grade levels and management positions as well as the distribution of awards. The Agency also will consider the use of details and job assignments as tools for PWD and PWTD to obtain significant work experience. • Establish a plan to collect exit interview data by disability status and identify reasons PWD and PWTD are leaving the Agency. The Agency also will plan to conduct interviews to encourage PWD and PWTD who may be considering leaving to stay.

B. CAREER DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITES

1. Please describe the career development opportunities that the agency provides to its employees.

Employees are encouraged to take advantage of the variety of programs the Agency usually offers because training promotes

professional and personal development. Employees with disabilities are actively encouraged to apply for these developmental opportunities as well. These opportunities are advertised via email and online. The Agency tracks the names of the employees selected for training and details through its established Human Resources systems of record and has other mechanisms in place for limited tracking of employees who are selected for mentoring, fellowships, and coaching. Some of those career development opportunities and training programs are listed below: o Shadowing and Mentoring programs o American Probation and Parole Association Leadership Institute o Susan Shaffer Leadership Academy o Partnership for Public Service: Emerging Human Resources Leaders

2. In the table below, please provide the data for career development opportunities that require competition and/or supervisory recommendation/ approval to participate.

Canana Davida marant	Total Par	Total Participants		PWD		PWTD	
Career Development Opportunities	Applicants (#)	Selectees (#)	Applicants (%)	Selectees (%)	Applicants (%)	Selectees (%)	
Internship Programs	1	1					
Fellowship Programs	0						
Mentoring Programs	0						
Detail Programs	0						
Other Career Development Programs	7	6					
Coaching Programs	0						
Training Programs	0						

3.	Do triggers exist for PWD among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs? (The
	appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for the applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes",
	describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your
	plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. Applicants (PWD)	Answer	Yes
b. Selections (PWD)	Answer	Yes

For FY 2022, the Agency did not have the data available to determine triggers for all EEO groups, although there was limited information to suggest that there may be a trigger for PWD/PWTD. The Agency's Learning Management System (LMS) for all employees, including PWD and PWTD, does not populate into workforce data tables A/B-12 Career Development Distributed by Disability.

4. Do triggers exist for PWTD among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for the applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. Applicants (PWTD)	Answer	Yes
b. Selections (PWTD)	Answer	Yes

For FY 2022, the Agency did not have the data available to determine triggers for all EEO groups, although there was limited information to suggest that there may be a trigger for PWD/PWTD. The Agency's Learning Management System (LMS) for all employees, including PWD and PWTD, does not populate into workforce data tables A/B-12 Career Development Distributed by Disability.

C. AWARDS

1. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for any level of the time-off awards, bonuses, or other incentives? If "yes", please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWD)

Answer No

b. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWTD)

Answer No

In FY 2022, PWD received awards at a rate slightly less than their percentage representation in the Agency's total workforce. Of the Agency's workforce, 8.32% of employees identified as having a disability, and 8.18% of the Agency's total awards were provided to individuals with disabilities. For example, the Agency awarded 163 time-off awards and only nine (9) of those time-off awards (5.52%) were provided to individuals with disabilities. Of the Agency's highest time-off awards (31-40 hours), 6.25% were provided to individuals with disabilities. However, PWD received cash awards at a rate slightly higher than their percentage representation in the Agency's workforce. Of the Agency's total cash awards, 8.80% were awarded to individuals with disabilities. The distribution of cash awards for individuals with disabilities was spread across the Agency, with the following distribution to PWD: <\$501 = 6.80%, \$501-\$999 = 15.62%, \$1,000 - \$1,999 = 9.30%, \$2,000 - \$2,999 = 7.59%, \$3,000-\$3999 = 10.84%, \$4,000-\$4,999=11.47%, >\$4,999=0%. In FY 2022, PWTD received awards at a rate equivalent to their percentage representation in the Agency's workforce. Of the Agency's permanent workforce, 1.36% of employees identified as having a targeted disability, and 1.36% of total awards were provided to individuals with targeted disabilities. While PWTD did not receive any time-off awards, 1.53% of all cash awards were provided to individuals with targeted disabilities. The distribution of cash awards for individuals with targeted disabilities was spread across the Agency as follows: <\$501 = 0.97%, \$501-\$999 = 3.12%, \$1,000 - \$1,999 = 0.93%, \$2,000 - \$2,999 = 1.57, \$3,000-\$3,999 = 1.81%, \$4,000-\$4,999=3.28%, >\$4,999=0.

Time-Off Awards	Total (#)	Reportable Disability %	Without Reportable Disability %	Targeted Disability %	Without Targeted Disability %
Time-Off Awards 1 - 10 hours: Awards Given	37	2.33	3.67	0.00	2.78
Time-Off Awards 1 - 10 Hours: Total Hours	289	16.28	28.78	0.00	19.44
Time-Off Awards 1 - 10 Hours: Average Hours	7.81	8.14	0.87	0.00	9.72
Time-Off Awards 11 - 20 hours: Awards Given	107	6.98	11.22	0.00	8.33
Time-Off Awards 11 - 20 Hours: Total Hours	1702	106.98	178.89	0.00	127.78
Time-Off Awards 11 - 20 Hours: Average Hours	15.91	17.83	1.77	0.00	21.29
Time-Off Awards 21 - 30 hours: Awards Given	3	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 21 - 30 Hours: Total Hours	78	0.00	8.67	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 21 - 30 Hours: Average Hours	26	0.00	2.89	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 31 - 40 hours: Awards Given	16	1.16	1.67	0.00	1.39
Time-Off Awards 31 - 40 Hours: Total Hours	608	46.51	63.11	0.00	55.56
Time-Off Awards 31 - 40 Hours: Average Hours	38	46.51	4.21	0.00	55.56
Time-Off Awards 41 or more Hours: Awards Given	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 41 or more Hours: Total Hours	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 41 or more Hours: Average Hours	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency for the District of Columbia

Cash Awards	Total (#)	Reportable Disability %	Without Reportable Disability %	Targeted Disability %	Without Targeted Disability %
Cash Awards: \$501 - \$999: Awards Given	32	5.81	2.89	7.14	5.56
Cash Awards: \$501 - \$999: Total Amount	22756	4273.26	2025.22	4042.86	4318.06
Cash Awards: \$501 - \$999: Average Amount	711.13	854.65	77.89	4042.86	234.72
Cash Awards: \$1000 - \$1999: Awards Given	215	23.26	20.44	14.29	25.00
Cash Awards: \$1000 - \$1999: Total Amount	323820	36961.63	30538.78	22342.86	39804.17
Cash Awards: \$1000 - \$1999: Average Amount	1506.14	1848.08	165.97	11171.43	35.21
Cash Awards: \$2000 - \$2999: Awards Given	382	33.72	38.56	42.86	31.94
Cash Awards: \$2000 - \$2999: Total Amount	888511	78274.42	89772.33	94457.14	75127.78
Cash Awards: \$2000 - \$2999: Average Amount	2325.95	2699.12	258.71	15742.86	162.83
Cash Awards: \$3000 - \$3999: Awards Given	166	20.93	16.44	21.43	20.83
Cash Awards: \$3000 - \$3999: Total Amount	563092	70261.63	55851.89	70950.00	70127.78
Cash Awards: \$3000 - \$3999: Average Amount	3392.12	3903.42	377.38	23650.00	63.81
Cash Awards: \$4000 - \$4999: Awards Given	61	8.14	5.78	14.29	6.94
Cash Awards: \$4000 - \$4999: Total Amount	272611	35391.86	25898.44	58750.00	30850.00
Cash Awards: \$4000 - \$4999: Average Amount	4469.03	5055.98	498.05	29375.00	327.28
Cash Awards: \$5000 or more: Awards Given	18	0.00	1.78	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$5000 or more: Total Amount	97720	0.00	9709.22	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$5000 or more: Average Amount	5428.89	0.00	606.83	0.00	0.00

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for quality step increases or performance- based pay increases? If "yes", please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. Pay Increases (PWD)

Answer No

b. Pay Increases (PWTD)

Answer No

In FY 2022, PWD and PTWD each received one (1) of the 12 or 8.33% of performance-based pay increases. No quality step increases (QSIs) were awarded to PWD and PTWD in FY 2022.

Other Awards	Total (#)	Reportable Disability %	Without Reportable Disability %	Targeted Disability %	Without Targeted Disability %
Total Performance Based Pay Increases Awarded	12	1.16	1.22	7.14	0.00

3. If the agency has other types of employee recognition programs, are PWD and/or PWTD recognized disproportionately less than employees without disabilities? (The appropriate benchmark is the inclusion rate.) If "yes", describe the employee recognition program and relevant data in the text box.

a. Other Types of Recognition (PWD)

Answer N/A

b. Other Types of Recognition (PWTD)

Answer N/A

There is no statistical data available to determine other types of employee recognition programs for PWD and PWTD other than those identified in the workforce data table.

D. PROMOTIONS

1. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. SES

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)

Answer No

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

Answer No

b. Grade GS-15

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)

Answer No

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

Answer No

c. Grade GS-14

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)

Answer Yes

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

Answer Yes

d. Grade GS-13

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)

Answer Yes

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

Answer Yes

In FY 2022, there were nine (9) qualified internal applicants for promotion to the GS-13 level who identified as having a disability. There were five (5) qualified internal applicants for promotion to the GS-13 who identified as having a targeted disability. None of these applicants were selected for internal competitive promotion. In FY 2022, there were three (3) qualified internal applicants for promotion to the GS 14 level who identified as having a disability. One (1) of the three (3) qualified internal applicants also identified as having a targeted disability. However, one of the qualified applicants who had a disability, but not a targeted disability, was selected for an internal competitive promotion.

2. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. SES

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)

Answer No

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)

Answer No

b. Grade GS-15

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)

Answer No

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)

Answer No

c. Grade GS-14

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)

Answer Yes

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)

Answer Yes

d. Grade GS-13

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)

Answer Yes

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)

Answer Yes

In FY 2022, there were nine (9) qualified internal applicants for promotion to the GS-13 level who identified as having a disability. There were five (5) qualified internal applicants for promotion to the GS-13 who identified as having a targeted disability. None of these applicants were selected for internal competitive promotion. In FY 2022, there were three (3) qualified internal applicants for promotion to the GS 14 level who identified as having a disability. One (1) of the three (3) qualified internal applicants also identified as having a targeted disability. However, one of the qualified applicants who had a disability, but not a targeted disability, was selected for an internal competitive promotion.

3. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. New Hires to SES (PWD)

Answer Yes

b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWD)

Answer Yes

c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWD)

Answer Yes

d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWD)

Answer Yes

In FY 2022, there were nine (9) qualified external applicants for GS-13 positions who identified as having a disability. None of these applicants were selected for GS-13 positions. There were four (4) qualified external applicants for GS-14 positions who identified as having a disability. None of these applicants were selected for GS-14 positions. There were two (2) external applicants for GS-15 positions who identified as having a disability, but neither of these applicants were deemed qualified.

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. New Hires to SES (PWTD)

Answer Yes

b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWTD)

Answer Yes

c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWTD)

Answer Yes

d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWTD)

Answer Yes

In FY 2022, there was one (1) qualified external applicant for a GS-14 position who identified as having a targeted disability. This applicant was not selected for the position.

5. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory

positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. Executives

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer No

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

Answer No

b. Managers

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)

Answer No

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

Answer No

c. Supervisors

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)

Answer No

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

Answer No

In FY 2022, there were two (2) qualified internal applicants for supervisory positions who self-identified as having a disability. One (1) of these two (2) qualified applicants was the selectee for a supervisory position.

6. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. Executives

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)

Answer No

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)

Answer No

b. Managers

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)

Answer No

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)

Answer No

c. Supervisors

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)

Answer No

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)

Answer Yes

In FY 2022, there was one (1) qualified internal applicant for a supervisory position who self-identified as having a targeted disability. However, that applicant was not a selected for a supervisory position.

7. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. New Hires for Executives (PWD)

Answer No

b. New Hires for Managers (PWD)

Answer Yes

c. New Hires for Supervisors (PWD)

Answer No

In FY 2022, there was one (1) qualified external applicant for a managerial position who identified as having a disability. This applicant was not selected for the position.

8. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. New Hires for Executives (PWTD)

Answer No

b. New Hires for Managers (PWTD)

Answer No

c. New Hires for Supervisors (PWTD)

Answer No

In FY 2022, there were no qualified external applicants for supervisory positions who identified as having a targeted disability.

Section VI: Plan to Improve Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To be model employer for persons with disabilities, agencies must have policies and programs in place to retain employees with disabilities. In this section, agencies should: (1) analyze workforce separation data to identify barriers retaining employees with disabilities; (2) describe efforts to ensure accessibility of technology and facilities; and (3) provide information on the reasonable accommodation program and workplace assistance services.

A. VOLUNTARY AND INVOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS

1. In this reporting period, did the agency convert all eligible Schedule A employees with a disability into the competitive service after two years of satisfactory service (5 C.F.R. § 213.3102(u)(6)(i))? If "no", please explain why the agency did not convert all eligible Schedule A employees.

Answer Yes

The Agency completed converting all eligible Schedule A employees with a disability who had completed two (2) years of satisfactory service into the competitive service.

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of PWD among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without disabilities? If "yes", describe the trigger below.

a. Voluntary Separations (PWD)

Answer Yes

b.Involuntary Separations (PWD)

Answer Yes

In FY 2022, the percentage of PWD among voluntary separations was 14.06 %. The percentage of PWD among involuntary separations was 50%.

Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency for the District of Columbia

Seperations	Total #	Reportable Disabilities %	Without Reportable Disabilities %
Permanent Workforce: Reduction in Force	0	0.00	0.00
Permanent Workforce: Removal	4	2.33	0.21
Permanent Workforce: Resignation	6	2.33	0.42
Permanent Workforce: Retirement	22	3.49	2.00
Permanent Workforce: Other Separations	31	3.49	2.95
Permanent Workforce: Total Separations	63	11.63	5.59

3. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of PWTD among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without targeted disabilities? If "yes", describe the trigger below.

a. Voluntary Separations (PWTD)

Answer No

b.Involuntary Separations (PWTD)

Answer No

No PWTD separated from the Agency in FY 2022.

Seperations	Total #	Targeted Disabilities %	Without Targeted Disabilities %
Permanent Workforce: Reduction in Force	0	0.00	0.00
Permanent Workforce: Removal	4	0.00	0.39
Permanent Workforce: Resignation	6	0.00	0.59
Permanent Workforce: Retirement	22	0.00	2.16
Permanent Workforce: Other Separations	31	0.00	3.04
Permanent Workforce: Total Separations	63	0.00	6.18

4. If a trigger exists involving the separation rate of PWD and/or PWTD, please explain why they left the agency using exit interview results and other data sources.

The Agency's exit survey is voluntary. In FY 2022, the most common reasons for separation for PWD were voluntary retirement and accepted appointments in other federal agencies.

B. ACCESSIBILITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND FACILITIES

Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(4), federal agencies are required to inform applicants and employees of their rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794(b), concerning the accessibility of agency technology, and the Architectural Barriers Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. § 4151-4157), concerning the accessibility of agency facilities. In addition, agencies are required to inform individuals where to file complaints if other agencies are responsible for a violation.

1. Please provide the internet address on the agency's public website for its notice explaining employees' and applicants' rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

The internet address for the Agency's notice regarding the rights of employees and applicants under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act as well as under the Architectural Barriers Act is www.csosa.gov/accessibility/.

- 2. Please provide the internet address on the agency's public website for its notice explaining employees' and applicants' rights under the
 - Architectural Barriers Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

The internet address for the Agency's notice regarding the rights of employees and applicants under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act as well as under the Architectural Barriers Act is www.csosa.gov/accessibility/.

3. Describe any programs, policies, or practices that the agency has undertaken, or plans on undertaking over the next fiscal year, designed to improve accessibility of agency facilities and/or technology.

In FY 2022, the Agency conducted an audit of the Agency's field offices to determine the accessibility of the Agency's facilities for disabled persons. The Agency also began cataloguing the accessibility features of the Agency's current technology. In FY 2023, the Agency's plan is to publicize the accessibility features and encourage employees to begin to explore using some of the features regardless of disability status, so that the regular use of certain features becomes the standard practice for the Agency.

C. REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION PROGRAM

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(3), agencies must adopt, post on their public website, and make available to all job applicants and employees, reasonable accommodation procedures.

1. Please provide the average time frame for processing initial requests for reasonable accommodations during the reporting period. (Please do not include previously approved requests with repetitive accommodations, such as interpreting services.)

In FY 2022, the Agency's guideline for Reasonable Accommodation request processing was 30 days. Based on those guidelines, approximately 33% of Reasonable Accommodation requests were timely processed.

2. Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the agency's reasonable accommodation program. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests, timely providing approved accommodations, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring accommodation requests for trends.

All managers and supervisors are required to take EEO refresher training biennially. In addition, in FY 2022, the Agency continued to train managers and supervisors on its revised Reasonable Accommodation policy and procedures.

D. PERSONAL ASSISTANCE SERVICES ALLOWING EMPLOYEES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE WORKPLACE

Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(5), federal agencies, as an aspect of affirmative action, are required to provide personal assistance services (PAS) to employees who need them because of a targeted disability, unless doing so would impose an undue hardship on the agency.

Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the PAS requirement. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests for PAS, timely providing approved services, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring PAS requests for trends.

In FY 2022, the Agency continued training on its revised Reasonable Accommodation policy and procedures, which incorporates requests for personal assistance services. This was in addition to the online self-paced training on Reasonable Accommodation laws that the Agency provided to employees.

Section VII: EEO Complaint and Findings Data

A. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING HARASSMENT

1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging harassment, as compared to the governmentwide average?

Answer No

2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging harassment based on disability status result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?

Answer No

3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination alleging harassment based on disability status during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.

In FY 2022, there were no findings of discrimination alleging harassment based on disability status.

B. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION

1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging failure to provide a reasonable

accommodation, as compared to the government-wide average?

Answer No

2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging failure to provide reasonable accommodation result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?

Answer No

3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination involving the failure to provide a reasonable accommodation during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.

In FY 2022, there were no findings of discrimination involving the failure to provide reasonable accommodations.

Section VIII: Identification and Removal of Barriers

Element D of MD-715 requires agencies to conduct a barrier analysis when a trigger suggests that a policy, procedure, or practice may be impeding the employment opportunities of a protected EEO group.

1. Has the agency identified any barriers (policies, procedures, and/or practices) that affect employment opportunities for PWD and/or PWTD?

Answer Yes

2. Has the agency established a plan to correct the barrier(s) involving PWD and/or PWTD?

Answer Yes

3. Identify each trigger and plan to remove the barrier(s), including the identified barrier(s), objective(s), responsible official(s), planned activities, and, where applicable, accomplishments

Source of the	Trigger:	Workforce Data (if so identify the table)						
Specific Work Table:	xforce Data	Workforce Data Table - B1						
STATEMENT CONDITION A TRIGGER POTENTIAL	THAT WAS FOR A	Low Participation Rate of People with Disabilities in Core Occupations						
Provide a brief describing the issue.								
How was the c recognized as a barrier?								
STATEMENT		Barrier Grou	ıp					
BARRIER GI	ROUPS:	People with 1	Disabilities					
			Targeted Disabil	ities				
Barrier Analy Completed?:	sis Process	Y						
Barrier(s) Ide	ntified?:	Y						
STATEMENT IDENTIFIED		Barrier Name Desc			escription of	scription of Policy, Procedure, or Practice		
Provide a succ of the agency procedure or practice that determined to of the undesired cond	t has been be the barrier	of admittee for a variety of positions.						
			Objective(s) a	nd Dates for	EEO Plan			
Date Initiated	Target Date	Sufficient Funding / Staffing?	Date Modified	Date Completed		Objective Description		
08/30/2019	12/31/2019	Yes			Increase the Number of Disabled Employees in Non-Mission-Critical positions such as Finance, Procurement, EEO, and OHR.			
			Respon	nsible Officia	l(s)			
Title			Name		Standards Address The Plan?			
Director of EEO, CSOSA OHR and PSA OHCM			Denise Clark, Li Lake	inda Mays and	nd Najuma No			
		Plann	ned Activities To	ward Compl	etion of Obj	ective		
Target Date					Suffic Staffir Fund	ng &	Modified Date	Completion Date
12/31/2019 Training for managers and supervisors. Yes 12/30/2021					12/30/2021			

Report of Accomplishments					
Fiscal Year	Accomplishment				
2020	In FY 2020, fifty-three (53) percent of the managers and supervisors were virtually trained on Reasonable Accommodations.				
2019	Thirty (30) percent of the managers and supervisors were trained on Reasonable Accommodation.				

4. Please explain the factor(s) that prevented the agency from timely completing any of the planned activities.

N/A

5. For the planned activities that were completed, please describe the actual impact of those activities toward eliminating the barrier(s).

N/A

6. If the planned activities did not correct the trigger(s) and/or barrier(s), please describe how the agency intends to improve the plan for the next fiscal year.

N/A